



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,716	11/15/2005	Thomas Sagel	SAGEL4	6838
1444 7590 08/19/2009 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303				
EXAMINER				
ING, MATTHEW W				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3637				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/19/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/534,716

**Applicant(s)**

SAGEL ET AL.

**Examiner**

MATTHEW W. ING

**Art Unit**

3637

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MATTHEW W. ING.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John M. Jillions (Registration No. 57,146).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Briefly, all of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Briefly, all of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner & applicant's representative discussed proposed amendments to the claims aimed at overcoming the prior art of record. Applicant's representative will file a response, at which time the case will be further considered. Examiner also noted the applicability of MPEP 502.03 to email correspondence with the Office. No agreement was reached at this time.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Matthew W Ing/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3637

/jvc/  
10 August 2009